

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

JOSEPH AUGUSTINE BOYD,

Plaintiff,

v.

DOW CONSTANTINE, et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. C21-234 RSM

ORDER

This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion to Reschedule Deadlines and Continue Conference Disclosure and Joint Status Report. Dkt. #10. Plaintiff's motion seeks relief from approaching case deadlines for two reasons. First, additional time may allow for the Court to issue an order on a pending motion to dismiss, obviating the need for further litigation in federal court. *Id.* at 1–2. Second, Plaintiff's counsel indicates that he represents several criminal defendants and that one defendant with a complex human trafficking case is currently in a trial that is expected to continue until mid-May. *Id.* at 2–3. Defendant King County has responded and does not object to Plaintiff's request for relief.<sup>1</sup> Dkt. #11.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Court notes the possibility that further communication between counsel could have resulted in submission of a stipulated motion and order.

1 Having reviewed the motion, response, and the remainder of the record, the Court finds  
2 and ORDERS that good cause justifies an extension of deadlines and GRANTS Plaintiff's motion  
3 (Dkt. #10).<sup>2</sup> Accordingly, the deadlines set in the Court's Order Regarding Initial Disclosures,  
4 Joint Status Report, and Early Settlement (Dkt. #6) are vacated and stricken pending a ruling on  
5 defendants' motion to dismiss or further order of the Court.

6 DATED this 16<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2021.

7  
8 

9  
10 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ  
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

---

21 <sup>2</sup> The Court takes a moment to note that the justification for the extension wholly reasonable.  
22 Delay, and perhaps stress, were added to the process because Plaintiff did not note his motion for  
23 consideration as required by the Court's local rules. *See* W.D. WASH. LOCAL RULES LCR 7(b)(1).  
24 Additional delay can be attributed to Plaintiff's failure to provide the Court with an electronic  
copy of his proposed order in the Court's electronic orders inbox. *See* W.D. WASH.  
ELECTRONIC FILING PROCEDURES FOR CIVIL AND CRIMINAL CASES 10, available at  
<https://www.wawd.uscourts.gov/sites/wawd/files/ECFFilingProcedures.pdf>. Plaintiff's counsel  
is reminded that he is expected to be familiar with this Court's rules.